



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/747,307	12/22/2000	Steve Bush	314768005US	2669

25096 7590 04/01/2004

PERKINS COIE LLP
PATENT-SEA
P.O. BOX 1247
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247

EXAMINER

HAYES, JOHN W

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3621

DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/747,307

Applicant(s)

BUSH ET AL.

Examiner

John W Hayes

Art Unit

3621

Period for Reply
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 January 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18,52-63 and 73 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18,52-63 and 73 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 April 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-18, 52-63 and 73 (Group I) in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.
2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 16-18 and 73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 16-18 recite the limitation "the node". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

With regard to Claim 73, the recitation "the account identifier" appears in line 8. Examiner is unclear as to which account identifier applicant is referring to since an "account identifier" is included in the log on request as well as the response.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Art Unit: 3621

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1-6, 9-18 and 52-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gautier, U.S.

Patent Application Publication No. US 2004/0045031 A1.

As per Claim 1, Gautier discloses a computer-based method for identifying common accounts, the method comprising:

- assigning a first user identifier to a first account, the first account being associated with a first node (Figure 1; 0015; 0029);
- assigning a second user identifier to a second account, the second account being associated with a second node (0025; 0039; 0043);
- receiving a request from the second node that includes the first user identifier (0042);
- when the first account is not already associated to the second node, determining whether the first and second accounts represent the same account (0042; 0044); and
- when it is determined that the first and second accounts represent the same account, combining the first and second accounts into a single account (0044).

As per Claim 2, Gautier further discloses wherein the determining includes requesting a user to indicate whether the first and second accounts represent the same account (0042; 0044).

As per Claim 3, Gautier further discloses including identifying the second account to the user by specifying a user name associated with the second account (0041; 0042).

Art Unit: 3621

As per **Claim 4**, Gautier further discloses wherein when the user indicates that the first and second accounts represent the same account, requesting that the user provide credentials associated with the second account (0044).

As per **Claim 5**, Gautier further discloses wherein each account has a user and the user of the first account is the same as the user of the second account (0044).

As per **Claim 6**, Gautier further discloses wherein each account has a user and the user of the first account is not the same as the user of the second account (0043).

As per **Claim 9**, Gautier further discloses when it is determined that the first and second accounts do not represent the same account, associating the first account with the second account (0044).

As per **Claims 10, 52 and 58**, Gautier discloses a computer-based method of generating a common account, one account being associated with a node, the method comprising:

- means for receiving at the node information relating to an account (0042-0044); and
- means for, when the account to which the information is related is not currently associated with the node,
determining whether the account associated with the node and the node not currently associated with the node should be common accounts (0042-0044; ; and
- when it is determined that the accounts should be common accounts, directing the combining the accounts into a single account (0042-0044).

As per **Claims 11, 53 and 59**, Gautier further discloses wherein the determining includes requesting a user to indicate whether the accounts are common accounts (0042; 0044).

Art Unit: 3621

As per Claims 12, 54 and 60, Gautier further discloses including identifying the account associated with the node to the user by specifying a user name associated with that account (0041; 0042).

As per Claims 13, 55 and 61, Gautier further discloses wherein each account is associated with one or more user identifiers (0026; 0042).

As per Claims 14, 56 and 62, Gautier further discloses wherein the information relating to the account is a user identifier associated with the account (0026; 0042).

As per Claims 15, 57 and 63, Gautier further discloses determining that the account to which the information is related is not currently associated with the node by receiving an indication of that account from another computer (0042).

As per Claim 16, Gautier discloses a method in a computer system for identifying common accounts, the method comprising:

- receiving a user identifier from a user (0042; 0044);
- sending a log on request to a server computer, the request including the received user identifier, but not including an account identifier (0042; 0044);
- receiving a log on response from the server, the response including an account identifier associated with the received user identifier (0042; 0044); and
- when the received account identifier does not match an account identifier previously stored at the node, prompting the user to indicate whether the account identified by the received account identifier should be the same account as an account identified by an account identifier previously stored at the node (0042; 0044).

Art Unit: 3621

As per **Claims 17-18**, Gautier further discloses including when the user indicates that the accounts should be common accounts, sending a log on request to the server computer, the request including the received user identifier and an account identifier previously stored at the node (0042; 0044).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 7-8 and 73 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gautier, U.S.

Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0045031 A1.

As per **Claims 7-8**, Gautier fails to specifically disclose deleting one of the accounts and assigning the user identifier assigned to the deleted account to the remaining account and associating with the remaining account a resource that was associated with the deleted account. Gautier instead discloses maintaining a second account, however, combining these accounts so that they are associated with a common network account. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to delete any additional accounts in a scenario where any additional accounts are assigned the same preferences, configurations or access rights. The motivation would be to reduce the number of accounts that have the same or similar settings or preferences in order to decrease the amount of processing necessary to manage the accounts.

As per **Claim 73**, Gautier discloses a method in a computer system for identifying common accounts, the method comprising:

- receiving a user identifier and password (0042; 0044);
- sending a log on request to a server computer, (0042; 0044);

Art Unit: 3621

- receiving a log on response from the server, the response including an account identifier associated with the received user identifier (0042; 0044); and
- when the received account identifier does not match an account identifier previously stored at the node, prompting the user to indicate whether the account identified by the received account identifier should be the same account as an account identified by an account identifier previously stored at the node (0042; 0044).

Gautier, however, does not specifically disclose that the log on request includes an account identifier since the user is attempting to establish a second account that has not yet been assigned an account identifier. Examiner submits, however, that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to send the existing account identifier in the log on request in order to enable the combining of a newly established second account identifier with the existing account identifier as suggested by Gautier.

Conclusion

9. Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Swift et al disclose a method and apparatus for merging user accounts when security domains are merged
- Bennett et al disclose a financial information portal and teach wherein a financial institution enables the linking of user accounts with portal accounts also used by the user

Art Unit: 3621

- Dutcher et al disclose the use of multiple accounts for users wherein users can be authenticated by multiple domains using the same user ID and password
- Shockley et al disclose a method and system for alias detection that allows multiple accounts for the same user, however, each account is assigned specific rights or access.

Art Unit: 3621

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Hayes whose telephone number is (703)306-5447. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 5:30 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Trammell, can be reached on (703) 305-9768.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Please address mail to be delivered by the United States Postal Service (USPS) as follows:

**Mail Stop _____
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

Please address mail to be delivered by other delivery services (Federal Express (Fed Ex), UPS, DHL, Laser, Action, Purolator, etc.) as follows:


**U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
2011 South Clark Place
Customer Window, Mail Stop _____
Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, Virginia 22202**

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 [Official communications; including
After Final communications labeled
"Box AF"]

(703) 746-5531 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled
"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington,
VA, 7th floor receptionist.


John W. Hayes
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3621

March 26, 2004